IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MOTION FOR STAY OF UNEXHAUSTED CLAIM OF INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSELS AT TRIAL AND ON DIRECT APPEAL, INVOLVING THE ILLEGAL SEARCH AND SEIZURE IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT.

COMES NOW, the petitioner, Reginald Harris, ProSe, respectfully requesting this Honorable Court to, issue an "ORDER TO STAY" the "Unexhausted" above captioned, "Inaffective Assistance of Counsel" claim for good cause.

In support of this request, the petitioner submits the following:

I. The operative facts and the Federal Legal Theory upon which petitioners claims are based; necessarily, require the state's lower court and appellate court to

have a fair opportunity to act on addressing the claim.

- 2. It is imperative that petitioner be allowed to register the unexhausted claims full contribution to the picture that will finally be before the Habeas Court. Because, in the end the consumation will furnish the proper perspective.
- 3. The district court may in its discretion, allow a petitioner to amend a mixed petition by deleting the unexhausted claims, hold the unexhausted claims in abeyance until the unexhausted claims are exhausted; then allow the petitioner to amend the stayed-petition to add the "Now-Exhausted claims, See and compare: Anthony v. Cambra, 236 F.3d 568, 575-578 (9th Cir. 2000), (holding that F.R.C.P.-15(c); made applicable to Habeas Petitions by, 28 U.S. C\$ 2242 allows the amended pleading, includ-

ing "Newly Exhausted" claims to "relate back", to the date of the original filing.)

WHEREFORE, the petitioner, respectfully requests this Honorable Court to, issue an "ORDER TO STAY," the "Unexhausted", "In affective Assistance of Counsel claim.

Respectfully Submitted,

Reginald Harris, Pro-Se

Dated: July 06, 2006